

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
WESTERN DIVISION**

McKINLEY BELL

PETITIONER

VERSUS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:04cv194(DCB)(MTP)

**LARRY GREER and
JIM HOOD**

RESPONDENTS

CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

A notice of appeal having been filed in the captioned habeas corpus case, in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or the detention arises out of a judgment and conviction in federal court which is being challenged pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, the court, considering the record in the case and the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2253 and Rule 22(b) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, hereby finds that:

Part A

A certificate of appealability should issue. (See reasons below.)

A certificate of appealability should not issue. (See reasons below.)

Part B

(for non-CJA pauper cases only)

The party appealing is a pauper.

The party appealing is not a pauper. (See reasons below.)

REASONS:

The petitioner has failed to make a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” Cannon v. Johnson, 134 F.3d 683 (5th Cir. 1998) (citing Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).

Date: 11/14/07

s/ David Bramlette
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE